



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/767,067

01/28/2004

Hemanth Sampath

MP0396

5464

26200 7590 01/27/2009

FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.

P.O BOX 1022

MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022

EXAMINER

BARON, HENRY

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2416

NOTIFICATION DATE

DELIVERY MODE

01/27/2009

ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PATDOCTC@fr.com

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/767,067	Applicant(s) SAMPATH ET AL.	
	Examiner HENRY BARON	Art Unit 2416	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 November 2008.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-94 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-12;14-24;26-35;37-46;48-59;61- 71;73-82;84-93 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 13,25,36,47,60,72,83 and 94 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>11/12/2008</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

Detailed Action**SCALABLE SPACE-FREQUENCY CODING FOR MIMO SYSTEMS*****Response to Arguments/Remarks***

1. Claims 1 – 94 are pending in the application. Claims 3, 5, 6, 9, 10, 12 – 13, 18 – 19, 26 – 27, 29, 33, 35 – 36, 41 – 42, 47, 52 – 53, 56 – 57, 59 – 60, 65 – 66, 74, 76, 78, 83, 88 – 89, and 94 are amended.
2. Applicant's arguments filed 11/12/2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
3. Applicant argues Wu only describe conventional OFDM system designs (i.e., "[o]rthogonal frequency-domain multiplexing (OFDM) systems were designed conventionally for either time diversity or for space diversity" (Page 1, paragraph 9); "a transaction unit of a conventional OFDM signal is an OFDM frame that lasts 10 ms" (Page 1, paragraph 15)) and Applicant submits that nothing in either Fig. 2 or paragraphs 9 or 15 of Wu teaches or suggests a selected spatial multiplexing rate that corresponds to a plurality of mapping permutations.
4. Examiner replies that Wu teaches in 1:[0015] of a plurality of data tones, applying the plurality of mapping permutations in an alternating manner to map one or more of a plurality of data symbols to a plurality of antennas i.e. '... multiple inputs, multiple output (MIMO) structure has multiple communication channels that are used between transmitters and receivers. A space time transmitter diversity (STTD) system may be used on a MIMO structure i.e. applying the plurality of mapping permutations in an alternating manner, but it will not increase the data throughput. Wu also teaches in 1:[0014] of applying the plurality of mapping permutations in an alternating manner to map one or more of a plurality of data symbols to a plurality of tones i.e. OFDM system, there are many OFDM modes, for examples are the 1 k mode (1024 tones) and the half k mode (512 tones). For 1 k mode, the number of sub-carriers is 1024 and for the half k mode, the number of sub-carriers is 512. The 1 k mode is suitable for a channel with long delay and slow temporal fading, while the 512 mode is suitable for the channel with a short delay and fast temporal fading).

Art Unit: 2416

5. In regards to claim 5, Applicant argues that claim 5 depends from claim 1 and in regards to claim 1, neither Gesbert nor Reitz cure the deficiencies of Kadous or Wu as these references do not teach or suggest applying a plurality of mapping permutations in an alternating manner to map one or more of a plurality of data symbols to a plurality of antennas, for a plurality of data tones, as recited by claim 1.

Gesbert, Applicant argues, generally discloses mapping each symbol stream onto one of a multiple transmit antennas. (Page 282, Principles of Space-Time (MIMO) Systems, paragraph 1) while the portion of Rietz relied upon by Examiner only discloses an algebraic definition for combinations of things all different. (Page 187, Section 134).

6. Examiner replies that Gesbert and Reitz are not relied on to cure deficiencies of claim 1, but to complement Kadous and Wu in teaching the additional limitations cited in claim 5. Wu teaches of at least two permutations i.e. space time transmitter diversity (STTD) and spatial multiplexing (SM). Further, in Wu teaches in Figure 3 of mapping these permutations cyclically across a set of tones (frequency) and antennas. Rietz teaches of an algebraic definition of combinations of a subset of all things different when applied to a set of antenna and tones, where Wu's STTD and SM are of two instances. Examiner argues that it would be obvious, as a function channel conditions (also taught by Wu, Abstract), to apply combinations of Rietz in a cyclical manner, as taught by Wu, for permutations between STTD and SM in order to optimize these resources.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

8. A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made

Art Unit: 2416

9. Claims 1 – 4, 14 – 17, 26 – 28, 37 – 40, 48 – 51, 61 – 64, 73 – 75, and 84 – 87 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being unpatentable over Kadous et al (U.S. Patent 6,636,568) in view of Wu (U.S.

Patent Application 20020122383)

10. Regarding Claim 1, 26, 48, and 73, Kadous teaches computer-readable medium, method and apparatus for receiving a selected spatial multiplexing rate, the spatial multiplexing rate corresponding to the a mapping permutation; (Figure 5, read rate control), and for each of a plurality of data tones (Figure 5 read encoders block 512a), 9 the plurality of data symbols (Figure 5, block 516a) to a plurality of antennas (Figure 5 block 124a-t) using a corresponding one of the one mapping permutations. (16:[0010-0051])

11. Kadous does not disclose a plurality of data tones, applying the plurality of mapping permutations in an alternating manner to map one or more of a plurality of data symbols to a plurality of antennas.

12. Wu teaches of a plurality of data tones, applying the plurality of mapping permutations in an alternating manner to map one or more of a plurality of data symbols to a plurality of antennas. (1: [0015] read .. multiple inputs, multiple output (MIMO) structure has multiple communication channels that are used between transmitters and receivers. Space time transmitter diversity (STTD) system may be used on a MIMO structure i.e. applying the plurality of mapping permutations in an alternating manner, but it will not increase the data throughput. Indeed, for a high level configuration, the data rate may even reduce. In an STTD system, the transmitters deliver the same information content within consecutive symbol i.e. data symbols, duration so that time diversity may be exploited. To efficiently use the multiple transmitters i.e. plurality of antennas, of the MIMO structure, however, the transmission data rate needs to be increased. And 1: [0009] read Orthogonal frequency-domain multiplexing (OFDM) systems were designed conventionally for either time diversity or for space diversity, but not both i.e. applying the plurality of mapping permutations i.e. time diversity or for space diversity, to map one or more of a plurality of data symbols to a plurality of antennas.)

Art Unit: 2416

13. Wu also teaches of applying the plurality of mapping permutations in an alternating manner to map one or more of a plurality of data symbols to a plurality of tones. (1: [0014] read .. OFDM system, there are many OFDM modes, for examples are the 1 k mode (1024 tones) and the half k mode (512 tones). For 1 k mode, the number of sub-carriers is 1024 and for the half k mode, the number of sub-carriers is 512. The 1 k mode is suitable for a channel with long delay and slow temporal fading, while the 512 mode is suitable for the channel with a short delay and fast temporal fading)

14. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made by a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the teachings of Kadous with Wu.

15. In this manner, by comparing the channel condition of each sub-carrier with a threshold one of a plurality of mapping permutations i.e. space time transmitter diversity (STTD) or spatial multiplexing (SM), can be employed to optimally utilize antenna and sub-channel resources.

16. Considering Claims 2 – 4, 27 – 28, 49 – 51, and 74 – 75, Kadous teaches that plurality of data tones comprise data tones in an OFDM symbol, applying comprises space frequency coding the OFDM symbol (16:[0052-0055]), and transmitting the coded OFDM symbol on the plurality of antennas (16:[0067]).

17. Regarding Claims 14, 37, 61, and 84, Kadous teaches a method and apparatus for receiving space frequency coded symbol from a plurality of antennas (Figure 6, block 152a-r), with a selected spatial multiplexing rate, the spatial multiplexing rate corresponding to one or more mapping permutations; (Figure 5, read rate control), and for each of a plurality of data tones (Figure 5 read encoders block 512a), mapping one or more data symbols (Figure 5, block 516a) to a plurality of antennas (Figure 5 block 124a-t) using a corresponding one of the one or more mapping permutations. (16:[0010-0051]).

18. Considering Claims 17, 40, 64, and 87, Kadous teaches the space frequency coded symbol comprises a space frequency coded OFDM symbol. (16:[0052-0055]).

Art Unit: 2416

19. With regards to Claims 15 – 16, 38 – 39, 62 – 63, and 85 – 86, Kadous teaches of linear decoding (19:[0028-0035]) and nonlinear decoding (19: [0037-0049]).

20. Claims 5 – 12, 18 – 24, 29 – 35, 41 – 46, 52 – 59, 65 – 71, 76 – 82, and 88 – 93 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kadous et al (U.S. Patent 6,636,568) in view of Wu (U.S. Patent Application 20020122383), in view of Gesbert, et al. From Theory to Practice: An Overview of MIMO Space–Time Coded Wireless Systems, IEEE JOURNAL ON SELECTED AREAS IN COMMUNICATIONS, VOL. 21, NO. 3, APRIL 2003, and in further view of Rietz, College Algebra pages 186 – 187, Henry Holt and Company, 1909.

21. Regarding Claims 5, 29, 52, and 76, Kadous teaches a method and apparatus comprised of receiving a selected spatial multiplexing rate (2: [0025-0030] read data rates) for each of a plurality of data tones (3: [0030-0035] i.e. MIMO-OFDM) mapping one or more of a plurality of data symbols to a plurality of antennas (3: [0035 -0040] N_t transmit antennas). In Figure 1, Kadous teaches of demultiplexing (data source 112 to TX/RX 124i)

22. However, Kadous is silent in disclosing of a spatial multiplexing rate corresponding to the mapping permutations of $M_t!/(M! \times (M_r - M)!)$ where M is the spatial multiplexing rate and M_t is the number of antennas.

23. Rietz teaches of plurality of mapping permutations comprise $M_t!/(M! \times (M_r - M)!)$ permutations, (page 186, Section 134; Combination of things all different) and Gesbert teaches that M is (the range) of spatial multiplexing rates e.g. from maximum diversity to maximum multiplexing and M_t is the number of antennas (page 287; Section IV Transmission over MIMO; General Principles; paragraph 1 – read and unification of categories).

24. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains to modify the *selected* spatial multiplexing rate teachings of Kadous to the range of multiplexing rate teachings of Gesbert using the permutation teachings of Rietz.

Art Unit: 2416

25. The modification would yield an improvement in transmission efficiency because the *selected* spatial multiplexing rate can be chosen to match channel conditions over any value within a range spatial multiplexing rates thereby optimizing the transmission system.

26. With respect to claims 6 – 7, 30, 53 – 54, and 77 Gesbert teaches of a range of spatial multiplexing rates e.g. from maximum or pure diversity to maximum or pure multiplexing (page 287; Section IV Transmission over MIMO; General Principles; paragraph 1 – read and unification of categories) selected from a plurality of available spatial multiplexing rates corresponding to the number of antennas.

27. Considering Claims 8, 31, 55, and 78, the nature of permutation mapping of the permutation (sic combination) of Rietz (page 186, Section 134; Combination of things all different) is cyclical.

28. With regards to Claims 9, 32, 56, and 79, Gesbert teaches of mapping with an apparatus compliant with a standard selected from the group consisting of IEEE standards 802.16. (Page 297 Section; Standardized Models).

29. Considering Claims 10, 33, 57, and 80 Gesbert teaches of a range of spatial multiplexing rates e.g. from maximum spatial diversity to maximum multiplexing (page 287; Section IV Transmission over MIMO; General Principles; paragraph 1 – read and unification of categories).

30. With respect to Claims 11, 34, 58, and 81, Gesbert teaches of transmitting the data symbols from the antennas at a substantially equal power. (Page 284 equation 4 and equal power in subsequent paragraph).

31. Considering Claims 12, 35, 59, and 82, Gesbert teaches of mapping data symbols to antennas for each of data tones using less than the available tone-antenna combinations. (Page 287; Section IV Transmission over MIMO; General Principles; paragraph 1 – read diversity maximization).

32. Regarding Claims 18, 41, 65, and 88, Kadous teaches of a method and apparatus comprised of receiving a space frequency coded symbol from antennas, the space frequency coded symbol including

Art Unit: 2416

data tones, (4: [0031-0045] read RX MIMO) for each of a plurality of data tones (3: [0030-0035] i.e. MIMO-OFDM) mapping one or more of a plurality of data symbols to a plurality of antennas (4: [0031 - 0045]).

33. However, Kadous is silent in teaching of a spatial multiplexing rate corresponding to the mapping permutations of $M_t ! / (M! \times (M_t - M)!)$ where M is the spatial multiplexing rate and M_t is the number of antennas.

34. Gesbert teaches of a range of spatial multiplexing rates e.g. from maximum diversity to maximum multiplexing (page 287; Section IV Transmission over MIMO; General Principles; paragraph 1 – read and unification of categories).

35. Rietz teaches of one or more mapping permutations. (Page 186, Section 134; Combination of things all different).

36. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains to modify the received spatial multiplexing rate teachings of Kadous to the *range* of multiplexing rate teachings of Gesbert using the permutation teachings of Rietz.

37. The modification would yield an improvement in reception efficiency because the received spatial multiplexing rate can be chosen to match channel conditions over any value within a range spatial multiplexing rates thereby optimizing the receiving system.

38. With respect to Claims 19 – 20, 42 – 43, 66 – 67, and 89 – 90, Gesbert teaches of a range of spatial multiplexing rates e.g. from maximum or pure diversity to maximum or pure multiplexing (page 287; Section IV Transmission over MIMO; General Principles; paragraph 1 – read and unification of categories) selected from a plurality of available spatial multiplexing rates corresponding to the number of antennas.

Art Unit: 2416

39. Considering Claims 21, 44, 68, and 91, the nature of permutation mapping of the permutation (sic combination) of Rietz (page 186, Section 134; Combination of things all different) is cyclical.

40. With regards to Claims 22, 45, 69, and 92, Gesbert teaches of mapping with an apparatus compliant with a standard selected from the group consisting of IEEE standards 802.16. (page 297 Section; Standardized Models).

41. With respect to Claims 23, and 70, Gesbert teaches of a range of spatial multiplexing rates e.g. from maximum spatial diversity to maximum multiplexing (page 287; Section IV Transmission over MIMO; General Principles; paragraph 1 – read and unification of categories).

42. In regards to Claims 24 and 71, Gesbert teaches of receiving the data symbols from the antennas at a substantially equal power. (Page 284 equation 4 and equal power in subsequent paragraph).

43. Considering Claims 46 and 93, Gesbert teaches of mapping data symbols to antennas for each of data tones using less than the available tone-antenna combinations. (Page 287; Section IV Transmission over MIMO; General Principles; paragraph 1 – read diversity maximization).

Allowable Subject Matter

44. Claims 13, 25, 36, 47, 60, 72, 83 and 94 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. What is distinguishing of these claims is the citation ‘.. mapping permutation to the plurality of antennas for a plurality of *adjacent* (Examiner's italics) tones.’ In this case, a super-set of a permutation is used to mitigate inter-channel interference, which is a feature that is not taught by the prior art.

FINAL ACTION

45. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 2416

46. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Conclusion

47. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to HENRY BARON whose telephone number is (571)270-1748. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 AM to 5:00 PM E.S.T. Monday to Friday.

48. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Seema Rao can be reached on (571) 272-3174. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

49. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/H. B./
Examiner, Art Unit 2416
HB

Application/Control Number: 10/767,067

Page 11

Art Unit: 2416

/Brenda Pham/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2416